# MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

## FIRST SESSION, 1962.

### FORTIETH DAY.

*Tuesday, 31st July 1962.*

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A., B.L.) in the Chair.

## STARRED QUESTIONS

**(to which oral answers were given)**

### Appointment of Class II Officers

*Q.—116. **Sri GANGADHAR NAMOSHI** (Gulbarga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they have appointed Class II Officers some time in the middle of August 1961;

(*b*) whether the P.S.P. has made a further recommendation for appointment of Class II Officers in the month of March 1962;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that merit has not been taken into consideration in the above said recommendations or appointments?

*A.—**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Yes, in the normal course.

(*b*) Yes; In the case of special recruitment as Class II Probationers.

(*c*) The matter is *sub judice* as it has been the subject of several writ petitions which are pending in the High Court.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I hope the hon. member has read the answer printed. Answer to clause (*c*) says that the matter is *sub judice*. So, hon. member should confine his supplementaries to clauses (*a*) and (*b*).

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಶಿ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರು; ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರನ್ನು ಇಂಟರ್‌ವ್ಯೂಗೆ ಕರೆದಿದ್ದಿರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಬಹಳ ಜನರು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸುಮಾರು 700, 800ರವರೆಗೂ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಯಾರ ಹೆಸರು ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಶಿ.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ನ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಜನರನ್ನು ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—The classifications are:

Tahsildars, Head Masters, Assistant Registrars, Regional Transport Officers, Deputy Superintendents of Police, Assistant Controllers of Weights and Measures, Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce, Assistant Commercial Tax Officers, Superintendents of Police and Assistant Superintendents of Jails.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—May I know at what stage the matter is *sub judice*?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—About the appointments themselves.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—The writ petition is only about the appointment to Tahsildars' posts?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—If it is a common list, the entire matter will be *sub judice*.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—May I know whether these appointments have been made after ascertaining the recommendations of the Public Service Commission?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot refer to the appointments. In the normal course they were recommended.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know how many writ petitions have been filed?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—As I know it is 11.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know the grounds on which they have asked for quashing the appointments orders?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I disallow it.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Sir, allegations made are public document and it can be referred to.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then what purpose would it serve by putting a question like that? Questions must be ascertaining some specific purpose. It is not for whimsical reasons. If it is a public document you can have access to it.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know whether those persons were posted to work after the appointments were made?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I think some of them are undergoing training.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಶಿ.—ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಪ್ಟಂಬರ್ 1962ರಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಟಿಫೈ ಆಯಿತು. ಆ ಕಾಲಾವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿ.ಎಸ್.ಸಿ. ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳ ಹೈಕಿ ಕೆಲವು ಜನರ ಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಮುಗಿಯುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದಿತ್ತೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರ ಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಏನು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೆ.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—Is it not a fact that discrimination has been shown in making the appointments to Class II posts?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I disallow it.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know what made the Government in not appointing others?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The number that was necessary has been appointed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಶಿ.—ಈ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿ.ಎಸ್.ಸಿ. ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರುಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧೀಕರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನ ಇರಬಹುದು?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That is *sub judice*.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಯಥಾ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಯಥಾರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಏನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ರೀತಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಾಗಿರುವುದು ಏನಾದರೂ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ನಾಲ್ಕು ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳು ಯಥಾರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆದದ್ದು ಇನ್ನು ಬಾಕೀದು ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಶಿ.—ಇಂಟರ್‌ವ್ಯೂ ಆಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಓರಲ್ ಟೆಸ್ಟ್ ಅಥವಾ written ಟೆಸ್ಟೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಎರಡೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

---

## Loss caused to Property due to Fire Accident in Marandahalli, Bangarpet Taluk.

*Q.—267. Sri E. NARAYANA GOWDA (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of houses destroyed in the fire accident in April 1962 in Marandahalli, Bethamangala Hobli, Bangarpet Taluk;

(*b*) the total loss caused to the property;

(*c*) the relief afforded by them to the persons affected;

(*d*) the steps taken for their rehabilitation?

*A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) 17 houses were destroyed in the accidental fire which occurred in Marandahalli on 18th March 1962.

(*b*) Rs. 17,494.

(*c*) A sum of Rs. 530 has been granted as relief to each of the sufferers.

(*d*) Records are being built up for acquisition of suitable land for shifting the village. Materials such as bomboos, foodgrains, etc., collected by the local officers were distributed among the sufferers. Thatched houses have already been put up and the concerned persons are living in these houses.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—17,494 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಲ್ಲ ಕರೆನ್ಸಿ ನೋಟ್ಸ್ ಎಷ್ಟು ನಷ್ಟವಾಯಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ವಿವರ ಇಲ್ಲ. 3,740 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬೆಲೆ ಬಾಳುವ ಮನೆಗಳು ನಾಶವಾಗಿವೆ. 5,071 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಹುಲ್ಲು ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಬೇರೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡರೆ 8,685 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕರೆನ್ಸಿ ನೋಟ್ಸೂ ಸೇರಿರಬಹುದು.